

REMARKS

Claims 100 and 101 are objected to as depending upon a rejected base claim, but the Examiner indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include the features of the base claim and any intervening claim. Claim 52 has been amended to include the features of claim 100. Claim 101 depends on claim 52 and is also allowable.

Claims 52-73 and 95-99 are rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over US Patent No. D400,012 Roberts, Graser, Chaplin and Marks. Claims 52-72 and 97-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koefeldt in view of Roberts, Graser and Carroll et al. Claim 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koefeldt in view of Roberts, Graser and Carroll et al and further in view of Champlin et al. Claims 95 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koefeldt in view of Roberts, Graser and Carroll et al and further in view of Marks. Claim 52 has been amended to include the features of claim 100, overcoming the rejections.

No additional fees are seen to be required. If any additional fees are due, however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-1984, in the name of Rehrig Pacific Company, for any additional fees or credit the account for any overpayment. Therefore, favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.

/Karin H. Butchko/

Karin H. Butchko
Registration No. 45,864
400 West Maple Road, Suite 350
Birmingham, Michigan 48009
Telephone: (248) 988-8360
Facsimile: (248) 988-8363

Dated: August 7, 2007